

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/500,803	ONO, TACHIO
		Examiner	Art Unit
		RICHARD M. BEMBEN	2622

All Participants:

(1) RICHARD M. BEMBEN.

(2) MICHAEL J. GUZNICZAK (REG. NO. 59,820).

Date of Interview: 18 November 2009

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11 and 15 as presented in the amendment dated 8 October 2009.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Incorporating the subject matter of claim 15 into claim 11 would place claim 11 in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)